

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                | FILI | NG DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.             | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------------|------|---------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/636,043                     | 08/  | 07/2003 | Adam I. Pinard       | 10001-008002                    | 9974            |
| 7590 02/23/2005                |      |         |                      | EXAMINER                        |                 |
| Kristofer E. E                 | _    |         | BINDA, GREGORY JOHN  |                                 |                 |
| 187 Pelham Isla<br>Wayland, MA |      |         | ·                    | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE            |                 |
|                                |      |         |                      | 3679<br>DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005 |                 |
|                                |      |         |                      |                                 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  | V   |
|--|--|---|---|-----|
| Office Action Summary  |  | 10/636,043  | PINARD ET AL.   |     |
|  | Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |     |
|  | Vi   | Greg Binda  | 3679  |     |
| ۔۔<br>Period for   | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Reply  | pears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address   |     |
| THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re | PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replace of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communications (35 U.S.C. § 133). | on. |
| Status   |  |   |   |     |
| 1) 🗌 F   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | •   |   |     |
|  | •  | s action is non-final.  |   |     |
| 3) 🗌 💲   | Since this application is in condition for allowa  | nce except for formal matters, pro  | osecution as to the merits i  | S   |
| C  | closed in accordance with the practice under E   | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4  | 53 O.G. 213.  |     |
| Dispositio   | on of Claims   |   |   |     |
| 4) 🖂 (   | Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |   |     |
| •  | a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  | wn from consideration.  |   |     |
| 5) 🗌 (   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   | ·   |     |
| 6) <b>⊠</b> (  | Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.   |   |   |     |
| •  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |   |     |
| 8)□ (  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | or election requirement.  |   | •   |
| Applicatio   | n Papers   |   |   |     |
| 9)⊠ T  | he specification is objected to by the Examine   | er.   |   |     |
| 10)⊠ T   | he drawing(s) filed on 07 August 2003 is/are:  | a) accepted or b) ⊠ objected  | to by the Examiner.   |     |
| A  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |     |
| ·  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct   | •   | Ĭ   | d). |
| 11)∐ T   | he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | xaminer. Note the attached Office   | Action or form PTO-152.   |     |
| Priority ur  | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |     |
| 12)∐ A   | cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)   | )-(d) or (f).   |     |
| a)[  | All b) Some * c) None of:  |   |   | •   |
| 1  | I.☐ Certified copies of the priority document  | s have been received.   |   |     |
| 2  | 2. Certified copies of the priority document   |   | <del> </del>  |     |
| 3  | B. Copies of the certified copies of the prio  |   | ed in this National Stage   |     |
| * 54   | application from the International Burea   | , , ,   | a d   |     |
| ~ 56   | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list  | or the certified copies not receive   | ; <b>u.</b>   |     |
|  | •  |   |   |     |
| Attachment(  |  | ,——   |   |     |
| · ====   | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 4) Linterview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Da  | •   |     |
| 3) 🔯 Informa   | ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  | 5) Notice of Informal F   | Patent Application (PTO-152)  |     |
| Paper  | No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/25/03, 12/5/03</u> .  | 6)  |   |     |

### Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.83(a) because the drawings fail to show the claimed invention. The drawings fail to show the following features of the claimed invention:
  - a. Claim 1, line 4 and claim 4, line 4: "a lead screw nut mounted to the mounting site of the first member"
  - b. Claim 1, line 8: "a third member including a mounting site"
  - c. Claims 2 & 5: "a lead screw engaged with the lead screw nut"
- 2. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/636,043 Page 3

Art Unit: 3679

#### Specification

- 3. The disclosure is objected to because:
  - a. On page 1, the current status of the parent application needs to be included.
  - b. Page 5, line 21, the word "tapped" is misspelled.
  - c. Page 6, lines 7 & 8 states that the third pair of articulations is "preferably offset from the third pair". It is not clear what that means.
  - d. Page 6, line 19, the "flexures" are not identified by reference numeral(s).
  - e. Page 8, line 17, mentions a "z direction" but a lower case "z" does not appear in the drawings.
  - f. Page 8, line 20 mentions an "x direction" but a lower case "x" does not appear in the drawings.
  - g. Page 8, line 20 mentions a "y direction" but in Fig. 4, the letter "y" indicates a measure of length, not a direction.
- 4. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). The detailed description does not describe features corresponding to the following limitations:
  - a. Claim 3: all limitations therein
  - b. Claim 4, lines 1 & 2: all limitations therein

Art Unit: 3679

#### Claim Objections

5. Claim 3 is objected to because the first, second and third members are recited as "tubular" but on page 6, line 16 they are described as being made from a solid (i.e. not tubular) cylindrical member.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shelley, US 3,420,582. Fig. 2 shows a lead screw coupling 24 comprising: a first member 2 including a mounting site 56; a lead screw nut ("threaded fastener" at col. 2, line 52) mounted to the mounting site of the first member; a second member 6; a first universal joint 19 operatively connected between the first and second members, a third member 4 including a mounting site 56 (see also Fig. 4) for coupling to a movable printer carriage; and a second universal joint 21 operatively connected between the second and third members, wherein the second universal joint includes a plurality of flexures and wherein each of the first, second and third members can move relative to each other. In col. 2, lines 52 & 53 Shelley discloses a lead screw (see "system") engaged with the lead screw nut. Figs. 2 & 4 show that the first, second and third members 2, 6, 5 are at least generally tubular.

8. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hellen et al, US 3,597,938 (Hellen). Figs. 4 & 5 show a coupling 30 comprising three members separated by two universal joints 32 & 34 and 36 & 38 cut from a tubular member 30. In col. 1, line 6, (see "two members"), Hellen discloses that the coupling comprises a lead screw and a nut.

- 9. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Linley, Jr., US 4,434,677. Fig. 3 shows a coupling comprising a first member 18, a second member 16, and a third member 14 all part of a single tubular piece. The members 18, 16, 14 all separated by two universal joints 26, 28, 30 & 32. Fig. 2 shows the coupling combined with a lead screw nut 46, 50 and a lead screw 24.
- 10. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by any one of the CREO references submitted in the information disclosure statement filed December 5, 2003.

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/636,043

Art Unit: 3679

12. Claims 4 & 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hellen. In Figs. 4 & 5 Hellen shows an apparatus comprising all the limitations of the claims except two additional members and two additional pairs of articulations. However, it would have been obvious to modify the apparatus of Hellen by including two additional members and two additional pairs of articulations since such a modification would have involved a mere duplication of parts. The duplication of parts for a multiplied effect has no patentable significance and is considered well within the purview and obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., Inc. 193 USPQ 8, 11 (7th Cir. 1977).

13. Claims 4 & 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shelley for the same reason immediately noted above.

#### Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (703) 305-2869. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 am to 7:00 pm with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3679

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Greg Binda

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3679